

Generalized almost para-contact manifolds

Bayram Şahin and Fulya Şahin

Department of Mathematics, Inonu University, 44280, Malatya-Turkey.

(emails: bayram.sahin@inonu.edu.tr, fulya.sahin@inonu.edu.tr)

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce generalized almost para-contact manifolds and obtain normality conditions in terms of classical tensor fields. We show that such manifolds naturally carry certain Lie bialgebroid/quasi-Lie algebroid structures on them and we relate this new generalized manifolds with classical almost para-contact manifolds. The paper contains several examples.

1. Introduction

As a unification and extension of usual notions of complex manifolds and symplectic manifolds, the notion of generalized complex manifolds was introduced by Hitchin [8]. This subject has been studied widely in [7] by Gualtieri. In particular, the notion of a generalized Kähler structure was introduced and studied by Gualtieri in the context of the theory of generalized geometric structures. Later such manifolds and their submanifolds have been studied in many papers. For instance, in [3], Crainic gave necessary and sufficient conditions for a generalized almost complex manifold to be generalized complex manifold in terms of classical tensor fields. Generalized pseudo-Kähler structures have been also studied recently in [4]. Generalized geometry has received a reasonable amount of interest due to possible several relations with mathematical physics. Indeed, generalized Kähler structures describe precisely the bi-Hermitian geometry arising in super-symmetric σ -models [5].

A central idea in generalized geometry is that $TM \oplus TM^*$ should be thought of as a generalized tangent bundle to manifold M . If X and ξ denote a vector field and a dual vector field on M respectively, then we write (X, α) (or $X + \alpha$) as a typical element of $TM \oplus TM^*$. The space of sections of the vector bundle $TM \oplus TM^*$ is endowed with two natural $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear operations: for the sections $(X, \alpha), (Y, \beta)$ of $TM \oplus TM^* = \mathcal{TM}$, a symmetric bilinear form $\langle, \rangle$ is defined by

$$\langle X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(i_X \beta + i_Y \alpha), \quad (1.1)$$

and the Courant bracket of two sections is defined by

$$\llbracket (X, \alpha), (Y, \beta) \rrbracket = [X, Y] + L_X \beta - L_Y \alpha - \frac{1}{2} d(i_X \beta - i_Y \alpha), \quad (1.2)$$

where d , L_X and i_X denote exterior derivative, Lie derivative and interior derivative with respect to X , respectively. The Courant bracket is antisymmetric but, it does not satisfy the Jacobi identity. In this paper we adapt the notions

$$\beta(\pi^\sharp \alpha) = \pi(\alpha, \beta) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_\sharp(X)(Y) = \omega(X, Y) \quad (1.3)$$

which are defined as $\pi^\sharp : TM^* \rightarrow TM$, $\omega_\sharp : TM \rightarrow TM^*$ for any 1-forms α and β , 2-form ω and bivector field π , and vector fields X and Y . Also we denote by $[\cdot]_\pi$, the bracket on the space of 1-forms on M defined by

$$[\alpha, \beta]_\pi = L_{\pi^\sharp \alpha} \beta - L_{\pi^\sharp \beta} \alpha - d\pi(\alpha, \beta). \quad (1.4)$$

As an analogue of generalized complex structures on even dimensional manifolds, the concept of generalized almost subtangent manifolds were introduced in [14] and such manifolds have been studied in [14] and [16]. On the other hand, the notion of a generalized contact pair on a manifold M was introduced by Poon and Wade in [11], see also [13], [15] and [17]. As we mention above, the framework of generalized almost complex structures puts almost symplectic structures and almost complex structures on an equal footing. Similarly the notion of a generalized almost contact structure unifies almost cosymplectic structures and almost contact structures.

In this paper, we introduce generalized almost para-contact structures/ manifolds and show that such manifolds include para-contact manifolds as a subclass. Then we investigate normality conditions for generalized almost para-contact manifolds in terms of classical tensor fields and obtain certain Lie algebroid structures (Courant algebroid, quasi-Lie bialgebroid) on such manifolds. We give various examples and show that classical almost para-contact manifolds can be described in this generalized geometry.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall some basic notions needed for the paper. In section 3, we define generalized almost para-contact manifold, give examples and obtain normality conditions for generalized almost para-contact manifolds in terms of classical tensor fields. In section 4, we investigate Lie algebroid structures on generalized almost para-contact manifolds. For this aim, we construct several subbundles of big tangent bundle and show that all these subbundles are isotropic and then we use this information to obtain a characterization for quasi-Lie bialgebroid structure on a almost generalized para-contact manifold. We also introduce to the notion of strong generalized para-contact manifold and provide an example. In section 5, we show that classical normal almost para-contact manifolds are strong generalized para-contact manifolds.

2. Preliminaries

An $(2n + 1)$ dimensional smooth manifold M has an almost para-contact structure (φ, E, η) if it admits a tensor field φ of type $(1, 1)$, a vector field E and a 1-form η satisfying the following compatibility conditions

$$\varphi(E) = 0 \quad , \quad \eta \circ \varphi = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

$$\eta(E) = 1 \quad , \quad \varphi^2 = id - \eta \otimes E \quad (2.2)$$

and the tensor field φ induces an almost paracomplex structure on each fibre on the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ generated by η . An immediate consequence of the definition of the almost para-contact structure is that the endomorphism φ has rank $2n$.

Let $M^{(2n+1)}$ be an almost para-contact manifold with structure (φ, E, η) and consider the manifold $M^{(2n+1)} \times \mathbb{R}$. We denote a vector field on $M^{(2n+1)} \times \mathbb{R}$ by $(X, f \frac{d}{dt})$, where X is tangent to $M^{(2n+1)}$, t is the coordinate on $\mathbb{R}$, and f is a C^∞ function on $M^{(2n+1)} \times \mathbb{R}$. An almost paracomplex structure J on $M^{(2n+1)} \times \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$J(X, f \frac{d}{dt}) = (\varphi X + fE, \eta(X) \frac{d}{dt}).$$

If J is integrable which means that the Nijenhuis tensor of J , N_J , vanishes, we say that the almost para-contact structure (φ, E, η) is normal. For details, see:[9] and [18].

We now recall the notion of generalized almost para-complex structure on $\mathcal{TM} = TM \oplus TM^*$. A generalized almost paracomplex structure on M is a vector bundle automorphism $\mathcal{J}$ on $\mathcal{TM}$ such that $\mathcal{J}^2 = I$, $\mathcal{J} \neq I$ and $\mathcal{J}$ is orthogonal with respect to $\langle, \rangle$, i.e.,

$$\langle \mathcal{J}e_1, e_2 \rangle + \langle e_1, \mathcal{J}e_2 \rangle = 0, \quad e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{TM}). \quad (2.3)$$

A generalized almost paracomplex structure can be represented by classical tensor fields as follows:

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{bmatrix} a & \pi^\sharp \\ \theta_\sharp & -a^* \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.4)$$

where π is a bivector on M , θ is a 2-form on M , $a : TM \rightarrow TM$ is a bundle map, and $a^* : TM^* \rightarrow TM^*$ is dual of a , for almost para-complex structures see:[14] and [16].

A generalized almost paracomplex structure is called integrable (or just para-complex structure) if $\mathcal{J}$ satisfies the following condition

$$[\mathcal{J}\alpha, \mathcal{J}\beta] - \mathcal{J}([\mathcal{J}\alpha, \beta] + [\alpha, \mathcal{J}\beta]) + [\alpha, \beta] = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

for all sections $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{TM})$.

3. Generalized almost para-contact manifolds

In this section, we are going to define generalized almost para-contact structure and obtain the normality conditions. We first propose the following definition.

Definition 1. A generalized almost para-contact structure $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{Z}, \xi)$ on a smooth odd dimensional manifold M consists of a bundle endomorphism $\mathcal{F}$ from $\mathcal{TM}$ to itself and a section $\mathcal{Z} + \xi$ of $\mathcal{TM}$ such that

$$\mathcal{F} + \mathcal{F}^* = 0 \quad , \quad \xi(\mathcal{Z}) = \mathcal{I} \quad (3.1)$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\xi) = 0 \quad , \quad \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{Z}) = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^2 = \mathcal{I} - \mathcal{Z} \odot \xi \quad (3.3)$$

where $\mathcal{Z} \odot \xi$ is defined by

$$(\mathcal{Z} \odot \xi)(X + \alpha) = \xi(X)\mathcal{Z} + \alpha(\mathcal{Z})\xi. \quad (3.4)$$

The bundle map $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{TM} \longrightarrow \mathcal{TM}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{bmatrix} F & \pi^\sharp \\ \sigma_\sharp & -F^* \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.5)$$

where $F : TM \longrightarrow TM$ is a bundle map. The following example shows that generalized almost para-contact structure is really a generalization of almost para-contact structure.

Example 1. Associated to any almost para-contact structure, we have a generalized almost para-contact structure by setting

$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi & 0 \\ 0 & -\varphi^* \end{bmatrix},$$

with the given vector field E and 1-form η .

We give another example of generalized almost para-contact manifolds.

Example 2. Let H_3 be the three-dimensional Heisenberg group and $\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$ a basis for its algebra $\mathfrak{h}_3$ so that $[X_1, X_2] = -X_3$. Let $\{\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \alpha^3\}$ be a dual frame. Then $d\alpha^3 = \alpha^1 \wedge \alpha^2$. Now for some real number ϑ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \cosh \vartheta (X_2 \otimes \alpha^2 + X_3 \otimes \alpha^3) \quad , \quad \xi = \alpha^1, \mathcal{Z} = X_1 \\ \sigma &= \sinh \vartheta (\alpha^2 \wedge \alpha^3) \quad , \quad \pi = \sinh \vartheta (X_2 \wedge X_3). \end{aligned}$$

We also, as given in (3.5), define

$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{bmatrix} F & \pi^\sharp \\ \sigma_\sharp & -F^* \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $(\xi, \mathcal{Z}, \pi, \sigma, F)$ is a generalized almost para-contact structure on H_3 .

We now obtain the normality conditions for a generalized almost para-contact structure. For this aim, we make the following definition.

Definition 2. A generalized almost para-complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ is said to be M -adapted if it has the following three properties

- (i) $\mathcal{J}$ is invariant by translation along $\mathbb{R}$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{J}(T\mathbb{R} \oplus 0) \subseteq 0 \oplus TM^*$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{J}(0 \oplus T\mathbb{R}^*) \subseteq TM \oplus 0$.

The invariance of $\mathcal{J}$ by translations means that the Lie derivatives $\frac{d}{dt}$ of the classical tensor fields of $\mathcal{J}$ defined by (2.4) vanish. If conditions (ii) and (iii) are also imposed, it follows that the classical tensor fields of an M -adapted, generalized almost para-complex structure are of the form

$$a = F, \pi = P + \mathcal{Z} \wedge \frac{d}{dt}, \theta = \sigma + \xi \wedge dt \quad (3.6)$$

where P is a bivector on M , σ is a 2-form on M , $F : TM \rightarrow TM$ is a bundle map. A generalized, almost para-contact structure will be called normal if the corresponding M -adapted, generalized almost para-complex structure on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ is integrable. The following theorem gives necessary and sufficient conditions for a generalized almost para-contact manifold.

Theorem 3.1. *A generalized, almost para-contact structure is normal if and only if the following conditions are satisfied.*

(A1) P satisfies the equation

$$[P^\sharp \alpha_1, P^\sharp \beta_1] = P^\sharp([\alpha_1, \beta_1]_P). \quad (3.7)$$

(A2) P and F are related by the following two formulas

$$FP^\sharp = P^\sharp F^* \quad (3.8)$$

$$F^*([\alpha_1, \beta_1]_P) = L_{P^\sharp \alpha_1} F^* \beta_1 - L_{P^\sharp \beta_1} F^* \alpha_1 + dP(\beta_1, F^* \alpha_1). \quad (3.9)$$

(A3) P , σ and F are related by the following four formulas

$$i_Z \sigma = 0, i_\xi P = 0 \quad , \quad F^2 = Id - P^\sharp \sigma^\flat - \mathcal{Z}^t \otimes \xi \quad (3.10)$$

$$N_F(X, Y) = P^\sharp(i_{X \wedge Y}(d\sigma^\flat)) - (d\xi(X, Y))\mathcal{Z}. \quad (3.11)$$

(A4) ξ , $\mathcal{Z}$, F and $\sigma^\flat$ are related the following formulas

$$F(\mathcal{Z}) = 0, \xi \circ F = 0 \quad , \quad (L_{FX}\xi)Y - (L_{FY}\xi)X = 0 \quad (3.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma_F(X, Y, Z) &= d\sigma(FX, Y, Z) + d\sigma(X, FY, Z) \\ &\quad + d\sigma(X, Y, FZ). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

(A5) ξ , P , F and $\mathcal{Z}$ satisfy the following equations

$$L_{\mathcal{Z}}\xi = 0, L_{\mathcal{Z}}P = 0, L_{\mathcal{Z}}F = 0, L_{\mathcal{Z}}\sigma^b = 0, L_{P^\sharp\alpha_1}\xi = 0 \quad (3.14)$$

for $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \in \Gamma(TM^*)$.

Proof. The condition $\mathcal{J}^2 = \mathcal{I}$ implies the first equations appearing in (A2), (A3) and (A4). For remaining parts, we need to check the integrability of $\mathcal{J}$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) = \llbracket \mathcal{J}\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{J}\mathcal{Y} \rrbracket - \mathcal{J}\llbracket \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{J}\mathcal{Y} \rrbracket - \mathcal{J}\llbracket \mathcal{J}\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \rrbracket + \llbracket \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \rrbracket = 0$$

for $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{TM} \oplus \mathbb{R})$. First, if $\mathcal{X} = (0, 0, \alpha_1, 0)$ and $\mathcal{Y} = (0, 0, \beta_1, 0)$ are 1-forms, then we have, as the vector field part,

$$[P^\sharp\alpha_1, P^\sharp\beta_1] = P^\sharp(L_{P^\sharp\alpha_1}\beta_1 - L_{P^\sharp\beta_1}\alpha_1 - dP(\alpha_1, \beta_1))$$

which is (3.7) due to (1.4). For the 1-form part, we get (3.9). Now for vector fields $\mathcal{X} = (X, 0, 0, 0)$ and $\mathcal{Y} = (Y, 0, 0, 0)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} [FX, FY] - F[FX, Y] - F[X, FY] + [X, Y] &= P^\sharp(L_X\sigma^b(Y) - L_Y\sigma^b(X) \\ &+ d\sigma(X, Y)) - (L_Y\xi(X))(\mathcal{Z}) + (L_Y\xi(X))(\mathcal{Z}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

But using the following formula

$$i_{X \wedge Y}(d\sigma) = L_X(i_Y(\sigma)) - L_Y(i_X(\sigma)) + d(i_{X \wedge Y}\sigma) - i_{[X, Y]}\sigma$$

we arrive at

$$N_F(X, Y) = P^\sharp(i_{X \wedge Y}(d\sigma^b)) - (d\xi(X, Y))\mathcal{Z}$$

which is (3.11). For 1-form part, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_F X \sigma^b(Y) - L_F Y \sigma^b(X) &= \frac{1}{2}d\sigma(Y, FX) + \frac{1}{2}d\sigma(X, FY) \\ + F^*(L_X\sigma^b(Y) - L_Y\sigma^b(X) &+ d\sigma(X, Y)) - \sigma^b([X, FY]) \\ &- \sigma^b([X, FY]) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$FX(\xi(Y)) - FY(\xi(X)) - \xi([FX, Y]) - \xi([X, FY]) = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

Now using the following formula

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma(X, Y, Z) &= L_X\sigma(Y, Z) + L_Y\sigma(Z, X) + L_Z\sigma(X, Y) \\ &- \sigma([X, Y], Z) - \sigma([Z, X], Y) - \sigma([Y, Z], X) \end{aligned}$$

then, (3.15) and (3.16) give (3.12) and (3.13). For $\mathcal{X} = (X, 0, 0, 0)$ and $\mathcal{Y} = (0, 0, \alpha_1, 0)$, we have, as 1-form part,

$$\begin{aligned} L_X\alpha_1 - L_{FX}F^*\alpha_1 - L_{P^\sharp\alpha_1}\sigma^b(X) &+ F^*(L_{FX}\alpha_1 - L_XF^*\alpha_1) \\ + \frac{1}{2}d(\alpha_1(F^2X) + \sigma(X, P^\sharp\alpha_1) &- \alpha_1(X) + \alpha_1(\mathcal{Z})\xi(X)) \\ &- \sigma^b([X, P^\sharp\alpha_1]) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then from the third equation of (3.10) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} L_X \alpha_1 - L_{FX} F^* \alpha_1 - L_{P^\sharp \alpha_1} \sigma^b(X) &+ F^*(L_{FX} \alpha_1 - L_X F^* \alpha_1) \\ -d\alpha_1(P^\sharp \sigma^b(X)) - \sigma^b([X, P^\sharp \alpha_1]) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

And for the vector field part, we obtain

$$[FX, P^\sharp \alpha_1] - F[X, P^\sharp \alpha_1] - P^\sharp(L_{FX} \alpha_1 - L_X F^* \alpha_1) = 0$$

which is equivalent to (3.9). And (3.17) is equivalent to (3.15). For $\mathcal{X} = (0, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, 0, 0)$ and $\mathcal{Y} = (0, 0, 0, dt)$, we obtain the first equation in (3.14). In a similar way, we derive the other equations.

Now by using F, σ, P , we can write the endomorphism $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{TM}$ as

$$\mathcal{F} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F & P^\sharp \\ \sigma^\sharp & -F^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.18)$$

Also using $\mathcal{Z}$ and ξ , we can define the endomorphism $\mathfrak{Z}$ of $\mathcal{TM}$ given by

$$\mathfrak{Z} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{Z} \otimes \xi & 0 \\ 0 & (\mathcal{Z} \otimes \xi)^t \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.19)$$

Now we give a characterization for normality in terms of $(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{Z}, (\mathcal{Z}, \xi))$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let M be an odd dimensional manifold. Then $(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{Z}, (\mathcal{Z}, \xi))$ defines generalized normal contact structure on M if and only if the following conditions are satisfied.*

- (i) For $(X, \alpha) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{TM})$, $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathfrak{Z}$ are related by the following formulas

$$\mathcal{F}^2 = \mathcal{I} - \mathfrak{Z} \quad (3.20)$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}}((X, \alpha), (Y, \beta)) = \mathfrak{Z}[(X, \alpha), (Y, \beta)]. \quad (3.21)$$

- (ii) For $(X, \alpha) \in \Gamma(im\mathcal{F})$, $\mathcal{Z}, \xi$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are related by the following formulas

$$\|\mathcal{Z} \oplus \xi\|_g = 1 \quad (3.22)$$

$$[(Z, 0), \mathcal{F}((X, \alpha))] = \mathcal{F}(L_Z X, L_Z \alpha), \quad (3.23)$$

where g is the neutral metric of $\mathcal{TM}$ given by

$$g((X, \alpha), (Y, \beta)) = \alpha(Y) + \beta(X).$$

- (iii) For $(X, \alpha) \in \Gamma(im\mathcal{F})$, $\mathcal{Z}, \xi$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are related by the following formulas

$$\flat_g \circ \mathcal{F} + \mathcal{F}^t \circ \flat_g = 0, \mathcal{F} \circ \mathfrak{Z} = 0 \quad (3.24)$$

$$[\mathcal{F}(X, \alpha), (0, \xi)] = \mathcal{F}(0, L_X \xi). \quad (3.25)$$

Proof. Using (3.6) and $\mathcal{J}^2 = \mathcal{I}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (F^2 + P^\sharp \sigma^\flat)(X) + (FP^\sharp - P^\sharp F^*)(\alpha) \\
& gFZ + \xi(X)Z + (\sigma^\flat(X) - F^*\alpha + f\xi)(Z) \frac{d}{dt} \\
& (\sigma^\flat \circ F - F^* \circ \sigma^\flat)(X) + (\sigma^\flat \circ P^\sharp + F^{*2})(\alpha) \\
& g\sigma^\flat(Z) - fF^*\xi + \alpha(Z)\xi + (\xi(FX + P^\sharp\alpha + gZ))dt \\
& = X + f \frac{d}{dt} + \alpha + gdt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

Then (3.20), (3.22) and (3.24) follow from (3.26). On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}(X + f \frac{d}{dt}, \alpha + gdt) &= \mathcal{F}(X, \alpha) + g(Z, 0) + f(0, \xi) \\
& \quad (\alpha(Z) \frac{d}{dt}, \xi(X)dt).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

It is clear that $(X, \alpha) \in \Gamma(im\mathcal{F})$ if and only if $\xi(X) = 0$ and $\alpha(Z) = 0$. Now we check the normality conditions. Since

$$\mathcal{TM} = im\mathcal{F} \oplus Sp\{(Z, 0)\} \oplus Sp\{(0, \xi)\} \oplus Sp\{(\frac{d}{dt}, 0)\} \oplus Sp\{(0, dt)\},$$

it will be enough to consider combinations $(X, \alpha) \in \Gamma(im\mathcal{F})$, $(0, \xi)$, $(\frac{d}{dt}, 0)$, $(0, dt)$. For $(\frac{d}{dt}, 0)$, $(0, dt)$, since $\mathcal{J}(\frac{d}{dt}, 0) = (0, \xi)$ and $\mathcal{J}(0, dt) = (Z, 0)$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{J}}((\frac{d}{dt}, 0), (0, dt)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow L_Z\xi = 0. \tag{3.28}$$

For $(Z, 0)$, $(0, \xi)$, we get

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{J}}((Z, 0), (0, \xi)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow L_Z\xi = 0. \tag{3.29}$$

For $(X, \alpha) \in \Gamma(im\mathcal{F})$, $(0, dt)$, using (3.27) we have

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{J}}((X, \alpha), (0, dt)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \llbracket \mathcal{F}(X, \alpha), (Z, 0) \rrbracket = \mathcal{F}(L_X Z, \mathcal{L}_Z \alpha). \tag{3.30}$$

For $(X, \alpha) \in \Gamma(im\mathcal{F})$, $(\frac{d}{dt}, 0)$, using (3.27) we obtain

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{J}}((X, \alpha), (\frac{d}{dt}, 0)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \llbracket \mathcal{F}(X, \alpha), (0, \xi) \rrbracket = \mathcal{F}(0, L_X \xi). \tag{3.31}$$

For $(X, \alpha), (Y, \beta) \in \Gamma(im\mathcal{F})$, again from (3.27) we derive

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{J}}((X, \alpha), (Y, \beta)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}}((X, \alpha), (Y, \beta)) - 3\llbracket (X, \alpha), (Y, \beta) \rrbracket = 0. \tag{3.32}$$

Remaining combinations give the conditions obtained in (3.28)-(3.31). Note that the condition $L_Z\xi = 0$ in (3.28) is equivalent to (3.21).

4. Lie bialgebroid structures on generalized almost para-contact manifolds

In this section we are going to investigate necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of Lie bialgebroid structures on a generalized para-contact manifold. We first recall some notions needed for this section. A Lie algebroid structure on a real vector bundle A on a manifold M is defined by a vector bundle map $\rho_A : A \rightarrow TM$, the anchor of A , and an $\mathbb{R}$ -Lie algebra bracket on $\Gamma(A)$, $[\cdot, \cdot]_A$ satisfying the Leibnitz rule

$$[\alpha, f\beta]_A = f[\alpha, \beta]_A + L_{\rho_A(\alpha)}(f)\beta$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A)$, $f \in C^\infty(M)$, where $L_{\rho_A(\alpha)}$ is the Lie derivative with respect to the vector field $\rho_A(\alpha)$. Suppose that $A \rightarrow P$ is a Lie algebroid, and that its dual bundle $A^* \rightarrow P$ also carries a Lie algebroid structure. Then $(A; A^*)$ is a Lie bialgebroid if for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$,

$$d_*[X; Y] = L_X d_* Y - L_Y d_* X,$$

where d_* is the exterior derivative associated to A^* . On the other hand, a Courant algebroid $E \rightarrow M$ is a vector bundle on M equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, a vector bundle map $\rho : E \rightarrow TM$, a bilinear bracket $\circ$ on $\Gamma(E)$ satisfying

$$(c1) \quad e_1 \circ (e_2 \circ e_3) = (e_1 \circ e_2) \circ e_3 + e_2 \circ (e_1 \circ e_3),$$

$$(c2) \quad e \circ e = \rho^* d \langle e, e \rangle,$$

$$(c3) \quad L_{\rho(e)} \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle = \langle e \circ e_1, e_2 \rangle + \langle e_1, e \circ e_2 \rangle,$$

$$(c4) \quad \rho(e_1 \circ e_2) = \rho[e_1, e_2],$$

$$(c5) \quad e_1 \circ f e_2 = f(e_1 \circ e_2) + L_{\rho(e_1)} f e_2$$

for all $e, e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$. It is known that $(TM \oplus TM^*)$ with the non-degenerate metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ given in (1.1) and Courant bracket form a Courant algebroid. The anchor is the natural projection from the direct sum to the summand TM . The following result is well known.

Theorem 4.1. [10] *If (A, A^*) is a Lie bialgebroid, then $A \oplus A^*$ together with $([X, Y]_A, \rho_A, \llbracket, \rrbracket)$ is a Courant algebroid, where $\llbracket, \rrbracket$ denotes the Courant bracket given in (1.2). Conversely, in a Courant algebroid $(E, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \circ)$, suppose that L_1 and L_2 are Dirac subbundles transversal to each other, then (L_1, L_2) is a Lie bialgebroid.*

A real, maximal isotropic sub-bundle $L \subset TM \oplus TM^*$ is called an almost Dirac structure. If L is involutive, then the almost Dirac structure is said to be integrable, or simply a Dirac structure. Similarly, a maximal isotropic and involutive para-complex sub-bundle $L \subset (TM \oplus TM^*)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is called a para-complex

Dirac structure.

A quasi-Lie bialgebroid [12] is a Lie algebroid $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho)$ equipped with a degree-one derivation d_* of the Gerstenhaber algebra $(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet), [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \wedge)$ and a 3-section of A , $X_A \in \Gamma(\wedge^3 A)$ such that $d_* X_A = 0$ and $d_*^2 = [X_A, \cdot]$. If X_A is the null section, then d_* defines a structure of Lie algebroid on A^* such that d_* is a derivation of $[\cdot, \cdot]_A$. Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho)$ be a Lie algebroid and consider any closed 3-form ϕ . Equipping A^* with the null Lie algebroid structure, (A^*, d_A, π) is canonically a quasi-Lie bi-algebroid. We note that Lie algebroid structures have been also extended to Poisson-Nijenhuis structures [6]

Let M be a generalized almost para-contact manifold and $\mathcal{F}$ the bundle map given in (3.5). Then it has one real eigenvalue, namely, 0. The corresponding eigenbundle is trivialized by $\mathcal{Z}$ and ξ , and we denote these bundles by $L_{\mathcal{Z}}$ and L_{ξ} , respectively. Let $\ker \xi$ be the distribution on the manifold M defined by the point-wise kernel of the 1-form ξ . Similarly, $\ker \mathcal{Z}$ is the sub-bundle of TM^* defined by the point-wise kernel of the vector field $\mathcal{Z}$ with respect to its evaluation on differential 1-forms. On the paracomplexified bundle $(TM \oplus TM^*)_{\mathbb{C}}$, we have that $\mathcal{F}$ has three eigenvalues, namely, 0, 1 and -1 . We now define

$$\begin{aligned} E^{(1,0)} &= \{\mathcal{X} + e\mathcal{F}\mathcal{X} \mid \mathcal{X} \in \Gamma(\ker \mathcal{Z} \oplus \ker \xi)\} \\ E^{(0,1)} &= \{\mathcal{X} - e\mathcal{F}\mathcal{X} \mid \mathcal{X} \in \Gamma(\ker \mathcal{Z} \oplus \ker \xi)\} \end{aligned}$$

where $e^2 = 1$. The extension $\mathcal{F}$ of the endomorphism $\mathcal{F}$ with eigenvalues ∓ 1 to $(TM \oplus TM^*)_{\mathbb{C}}$ has eigenvalues $\mp e$, see:[1] for para-complex structures on a real vector space and other notions. Then $L_{\mathcal{Z}} \oplus L_{\xi}$ is the 0- eigenbundle, $E^{(1,0)}$ is the 1- eigenbundle and $E^{(0,1)}$ is (-1) - eigenbundle. We have natural decomposition $(TM \oplus TM^*)_{\mathbb{C}} = L_{\mathcal{Z}} \oplus L_{\xi} \oplus E^{(1,0)} \oplus E^{(0,1)}$. We now have the following four different paracomplex vector bundle which play different roles

$$\begin{aligned} L &= L_{\mathcal{Z}} \oplus E^{(1,0)} \quad , \quad \bar{L} = L_{\mathcal{Z}} \oplus E^{(0,1)} \\ L^* &= L_{\xi} \oplus E^{(0,1)} \quad , \quad \bar{L}^* = L_{\xi} \oplus E^{(1,0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $L_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a real line bundle, its paraconjugation is itself. Therefore para-complex conjugation sends L to $\bar{L}$. All of these bundles are independent of the choice of representatives of a generalized almost contact structure.

Lemma 4.1. *The bundles L , L^* , $\bar{L}$, $\bar{L}^*$, $E^{(1,0)}$ and $E^{(0,1)}$ are isotropic with respect to $\langle, \rangle$ given in (1.1)*

Proof. For $X + \alpha$, using (3.5) we have

$$\mathcal{F}(X + \alpha) = FX + \pi^\# \alpha + \sigma_\#(X) - F^* \alpha.$$

Now if $X + \alpha \in \Gamma(\ker \xi \oplus \ker \mathcal{Z})$, from (1.1) we get

$$\langle \mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{F}(X + \alpha) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} i_{\mathcal{Z}} \sigma_\#(X) - \alpha(F\mathcal{Z}).$$

Then using (3.2) and (3.10) we have

$$\langle \mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{F}(X + \alpha) \rangle = 0. \quad (4.1)$$

In a similar way we also have

$$\langle \xi, \mathcal{F}(X + \alpha) \rangle = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Thus if $X + \alpha \in \Gamma(\ker \xi \oplus \ker \mathcal{Z})$, then $\mathcal{F}(X + \alpha) \in \Gamma(\ker \xi \oplus \ker \mathcal{Z})$. For $X + \alpha, Y + \beta \in \Gamma(\ker \xi \oplus \ker \mathcal{Z})$, using (3.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X + \alpha + e\mathcal{F}(X + \alpha), Y + \beta + e\mathcal{F}(X + \beta) \rangle &= \beta(X) + e\beta(FX) \\ &+ e\beta(\pi^\sharp \alpha) + e\sigma_\sharp(Y)(X) + e\sigma_\sharp(Y)(e(FX + \pi^\sharp \alpha)) - eF^*\beta(X) \\ &- F^*\beta(FX + \pi^\sharp \alpha) + \alpha(Y) + e\alpha(FY + \pi^\sharp \beta) + e\sigma_\sharp(X)(Y) \\ &+ e\sigma_\sharp(X)(e(FY + \pi^\sharp \beta)) - eF^*\alpha(Y) - F^*\alpha(FY + \pi^\sharp \beta). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Since σ is symmetric and

$$\sigma(Y, FX) + \sigma(X, FY) = F^*(\sigma_\sharp(Y)(X) + \sigma_\sharp(X)(Y))$$

we have

$$\sigma(Y, FX) + \sigma(X, FY) = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

On the other hand, using (3.10) and (1.3) we derive

$$-\alpha(F^2Y) + \sigma_\sharp(Y)(\pi^\sharp \alpha) + \alpha(Y) = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Now putting (4.4) and (4.5) in (4.3) we obtain

$$\langle X + \alpha + e\mathcal{F}(X + \alpha), Y + \beta + e\mathcal{F}(X + \beta) \rangle = 0$$

which shows that $E^{(1,0)}$ is isotropic. Taking the paracomplex conjugation in above computation, we see that $E^{(0,1)}$ is also isotropic. Also from (4.1) and (4.2) we obtain that the pairings between L_ξ or $L_{\mathcal{Z}}$ with those $E^{(1,0)}$ and $E^{(0,1)}$ are equal to zero. Thus L is isotropic. Similarly, we find that L^* is isotropic.

We now present the following notion.

Definition 3. Given a generalized almost para-contact structure, if the space $\Gamma(L)$ of sections of the associated bundle L is closed under the Courant bracket, then the generalized almost para-contact structure is simply called a generalized para-contact structure.

Thus by considering the notions of Dirac structures, quasi-Lie bialgebroids and Definition 3, we have the following result.

Corollary 4.1. *When $\mathcal{J} = (\mathcal{Z}, \xi, \pi, \sigma, F)$ represents a generalized para-contact structure, the associated bundle L is a Dirac structure. In addition, the bundle L^* is a transversal isotropic complement of L in the Courant algebroid*

$((TM \oplus TM^*)_{\mathbb{C}}, \langle, \rangle, \llbracket, \rrbracket)$, where $\llbracket, \rrbracket$ denotes the Courant bracket. As a result, the pair L and L^* is a quasi-Lie bialgebroid.

We now investigate Lie bialgebroid structures on a generalized para-contact manifold.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{J} = (\mathcal{Z}, \xi, \pi, \sigma, F)$ be an integrable generalized para-contact structure. The pair L and L^* forms a Lie bialgebroid if and only if $d\xi$ is of type $(1, 1)$ with respect to the map $\mathcal{F}$ on $\Gamma((\ker \mathcal{Z} \oplus \ker \xi)_C)$.*

Proof. We first note that the inclusion of L in $(TM \oplus TM^*)_{\mathbb{C}}$ followed by the natural projection onto the first summand is an anchor map. When L is closed under the Courant bracket, the restriction of the Courant bracket to L completes the construction of a Lie algebroid structure on L . Since $\mathcal{J} = (\mathcal{Z}, \xi, \pi, \sigma, F)$ is a generalized para-contact structure, L is closed under the Courant bracket. From ([7], Proposition 3.27), we know that a maximal isotropic sub-bundle $\tilde{E}$ of $(TM \oplus TM^*)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is involutive if and only if the Nijenhuis operator Nij given by

$$Nij(A, B, C) = \frac{1}{3} \{ \langle \llbracket A, B \rrbracket, C \rangle + \langle \llbracket B, C \rrbracket, A \rangle + \langle \llbracket C, A \rrbracket, B \rangle \quad (4.6)$$

vanishes on $\tilde{E}$. Since L is closed with respect to the Courant bracket, by conjugation we have

$$\llbracket \Gamma(E^{(0,1)}), \Gamma(E^{(0,1)}) \rrbracket \subseteq \Gamma(L_{\mathcal{Z}} \oplus E^{(0,1)}) = \Gamma(\bar{L}).$$

Isotropic $\bar{L}$ implies that $\langle L_F, L_F \oplus E^{(0,1)} \rangle = \langle E^{(0,1)}, L_F \oplus E^{(0,1)} \rangle = 0$. Thus if $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2$ and $\mathcal{X}_3$ are all sections of $E^{(0,1)}$, then $Nij(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{X}_3) = 0$. Thus $Nij = 0$ if and only if

$$Nij(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \xi) = 0$$

for $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2 \in \Gamma(E^{(0,1)})$. Now for $X_1 \in \Gamma(\ker \xi)$ and $\alpha_1 \in \Gamma(\ker \mathcal{Z})$, by taking $\mathcal{X}_1 = X_1 + \alpha_1 - e\mathcal{F}(X_1 + \alpha_1)$ and $\mathcal{X}_2 = X_2 + \alpha_2 - e\mathcal{F}(X_2 + \alpha_2)$ we find

$$\mathcal{X}_1 = X_1 + \alpha_1 - eFX_1 - e\pi^{\sharp}\alpha_1 - e\sigma_{\sharp}(X_1) + eF^*(\alpha_1)$$

and

$$\rho(\mathcal{X}_1) = X_1 - eFX_1 - e\pi^{\sharp}\alpha_1,$$

where $\rho : L^* \rightarrow TM$ is the anchor map. Thus we have

$$Nij(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \xi) = \frac{1}{3} \{ \langle \rho \llbracket \mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2 \rrbracket, \xi \rangle + \langle \rho \llbracket \mathcal{X}_1, \xi \rrbracket, \rho \mathcal{X}_2 \rangle + \langle \rho \llbracket \xi, \mathcal{X}_1 \rrbracket, \rho \mathcal{X}_2 \rangle \}.$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} Nij(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \xi) &= \frac{1}{6} \xi([\rho \mathcal{X}_1, \rho \mathcal{X}_2]) + \frac{1}{6} (L_{\rho(\mathcal{X}_2)} \xi)(\rho(\mathcal{X}_1)) - \frac{1}{12} (d(i_{\rho(\mathcal{X}_2)} \xi)(\rho(\mathcal{X}_1))) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{6} (L_{\rho(\mathcal{X}_1)} \xi)(\rho(\mathcal{X}_1)) + \frac{1}{12} (d(i_{\rho(\mathcal{X}_1)} \xi)(\rho(\mathcal{X}_1))). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Since $X_2 \in \Gamma(\ker \xi)$, by using the second equation of (3.10) we derive

$$d(i_{\rho(\mathcal{X}_2)}\xi)(\rho(\mathcal{X}_1)) = 0. \quad (4.8)$$

Using this in (4.7) we obtain

$$Nij(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \xi) = \frac{1}{6} \{ \xi([\rho\mathcal{X}_1, \rho\mathcal{X}_2]) + (L_{\rho(\mathcal{X}_2)}\xi)(\rho(\mathcal{X}_1)) - (L_{\rho(\mathcal{X}_1)}\xi)(\rho(\mathcal{X}_2)) \}.$$

Then exterior derivative and (4.8) imply that

$$Nij(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \xi) = \frac{1}{3} \{ -d\xi(\rho(\mathcal{X}_1), \rho(\mathcal{X}_2)) + d\xi(\rho(\mathcal{X}_2), \rho(\mathcal{X}_1)) - d\xi(\rho(\mathcal{X}_1), \rho(\mathcal{X}_2)) \}.$$

Thus we arrive at

$$Nij(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \xi) = -d\xi(\rho(\mathcal{X}_1), \rho(\mathcal{X}_2))$$

which gives

$$Nij = -\mathcal{Z} \wedge \rho^*(d\xi)^{(2,0)}, \quad (4.9)$$

where $\rho^*(d\xi)^{(2,0)}$ is the $\wedge E^{(1,0)}$ -component of the pullback of the $d\xi$ via the anchor map $\rho : L^* \rightarrow TM$. Then proof follows from Theorem 4.1, Lemma 4.1 and (4.9).

The above result motivates us to give the following definition.

Definition 4. An generalized almost para-contact structure is called a strong generalized para-contact structure if both L and L^* are closed under the Courant bracket.

Here is an example for strong generalized para-contact structure.

Example 3. Let H_3 be the three-dimensional Heisenberg group and $\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$ a basis for its algebra $\mathfrak{h}_3$ so that $[X_1, X_2] = -X_3$. Let $\{\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \alpha^3\}$ be a dual frame. Then $d\alpha^3 = \alpha^1 \wedge \alpha^2$. Now for $t = r \cosh \vartheta + er \sinh \vartheta$, we define

$$F_t = \frac{2r \sinh \vartheta}{(1-r^2)} (X_2 \otimes \alpha^2 + X_3 \otimes \alpha^3) \quad , \quad \xi = \alpha^1, \mathcal{Z} = X_1$$

$$\sigma_t = \frac{-r^2 + 2r \cosh \vartheta - 1}{(1-r^2)} (\alpha^2 \wedge \alpha^3) \quad , \quad \pi_t = \frac{r^2 + 2r \cosh \vartheta + 1}{(1-r^2)} (X_2 \wedge X_3).$$

Then $(\xi, \mathcal{Z}, \pi_t, \sigma_t, F_t)$ is a family of generalized almost para-contact structures. There are two subfamilies of this family, determined by $|t|^2 = r^2 < 1$ and $|t|^2 = r^2 > 1$. The corresponding bundle L_t and L_t^* are trivialized as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} L_t &= \text{span}\{X_1, X_2 + e\alpha^3 + e\mathcal{F}(X_2 + e\alpha^3), X_3 - e\alpha^2 + e\mathcal{F}(X_3 - e\alpha^2)\} \\ &= \text{span}\{X_1, -(r^2 + r \cosh v)X_2 + er \sinh v X_2 + e(-r^2 + r \cosh v)\alpha^3 \\ &\quad - r \sinh v \alpha^3, -(r^2 + r \cosh v)X_3 + r \sinh v \alpha^2 + er \sinh v X_3 \\ &\quad + e(r^2 - r \cosh v)\alpha^2\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L_t^* &= \text{span}\{\alpha^1, \alpha^2 + eX_3 - e\mathcal{F}(\alpha^2 + eX_3), \alpha^3 - eX_2 - e\mathcal{F}(\alpha^3 - eX_2)\} \\
&= \text{span}\{\alpha^1, -r \sinh v X_3 + (-r^2 + r \cosh v)\alpha^2 - e(r^2 + r \cosh v)X_3 \\
&\quad + er \sinh v \alpha^2, r \sinh v X_3 + (-r^2 + r \cosh v)\alpha^3 + e(r^2 + r \cosh v)X_3 \\
&\quad + r \sinh v \alpha^3\}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that all Courant brackets on L_t^* are trivial. The only non-zero Courant bracket on L_t is

$$\begin{aligned}
\llbracket X_1, -(r^2 + r \cosh v)X_2 + er \sinh v X_2 + e(-r^2 + r \cosh v)\alpha^3 - r \sinh v \alpha^3 \rrbracket \\
= (r^2 + r \cosh v - er \sinh v)X_3 - (r \sinh v + e(r^2 - r \cosh v))\alpha^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which is belong to L_t . Thus $(\xi, \mathcal{Z}, \pi_t, \sigma_t, F_t)$ is a family of strong generalized para-contact structures.

5. Almost para-contact structures as an example of strong generalized para-contact structures

In this section we are going to show that an almost para-contact structure has a natural strong generalized para-contact structure on it. Let (φ, E, η) be an almost para-contact structure on a manifold M . An almost para-contact structure is a normal almost para-contact structure [18] if

$$N_\varphi(X, Y) = 2d\eta(X, Y)E, L_E\eta = 0, L_E\varphi = 0, \quad (5.1)$$

where N_φ is defined by

$$N_\varphi(X, Y) = [\varphi X, \varphi Y] + \varphi^2[X, Y] - \varphi[\varphi X, Y] - \varphi[X, \varphi Y] \quad (5.2)$$

for any vector fields X, Y .

Lemma 5.1. *Let (φ, E, η) be a normal almost para-contact structure on a manifold M . If $\mathcal{X}$ is a section of $E^{(1,0)}$, then $\llbracket F, \mathcal{X} \rrbracket$ is again a section of $E^{(1,0)}$.*

Proof. For $\mathcal{X} = X + \alpha \in \Gamma(\ker E \oplus \ker \eta)$, using (1.2) we have

$$\llbracket E, \mathcal{X} + e\mathcal{F}\mathcal{X} \rrbracket = L_EX + L_E\alpha + e(L_E\varphi X - L_E\varphi^*\alpha) \quad (5.3)$$

due to $X \in \Gamma(\ker \eta)$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma(\ker E)$. Since M is a normal almost para-contact manifold we have (5.1). Replacing X by E in (5.1) we have

$$\varphi^2[E, Y] = -\eta([E, Y])E.$$

Then we get

$$L_EY = \varphi L_E\varphi Y. \quad (5.4)$$

(5.1) and (5.4) imply that

$$\varphi L_EY = L_E\varphi Y. \quad (5.5)$$

Thus using (5.5) in (5.3) and considering dual almost para-contact structure φ^* , we get

$$\llbracket E, \mathcal{X} + e\mathcal{F}\mathcal{X} \rrbracket = L_EX + L_E\alpha + e(\varphi L_EX - \varphi^* L_E\alpha)$$

which proves assertion.

In the sequel we show that a normal almost para-contact manifold is actually a strong generalized para-contact manifold.

Theorem 5.1. *If $\mathcal{J} = (\mathcal{Z}, \xi, \pi, \sigma, F)$ represents a generalized almost para-contact structure associated to a classical normal almost para-contact structure on an odd-dimensional manifold M , then it is a strong generalized para-contact structure.*

Proof. For $X, Y \in \Gamma(\ker\eta)$, applying η to (5.2) we have

$$\eta([\varphi X, \varphi Y]) = 2d\eta(X, Y). \quad (5.6)$$

Since φX and φY are also sections of $\ker\eta$, we derive

$$-d\eta(X, Y) = d\eta(\varphi X, \varphi Y). \quad (5.7)$$

Now for $X, Y \in \Gamma(\ker\eta)$, we have

$$\llbracket X + e\varphi X, Y + e\varphi Y \rrbracket = [X, Y] + [\varphi X, \varphi Y] + e([\varphi X, Y] + [X, \varphi Y]).$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket X + e\varphi X, Y + e\varphi Y \rrbracket &= [X, Y] + \varphi^2[\varphi X, \varphi Y] + \eta([\varphi X, \varphi Y])E \\ &\quad + e([\varphi X, Y] + [X, \varphi Y]). \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Since M is a normal almost para-contact manifold, from (5.1), (5.2) and (5.6) we obtain

$$\eta([\varphi X, \varphi Y])E + [X, Y] + \varphi^2[\varphi X, \varphi Y] = \varphi([\varphi X, Y] + [X, \varphi Y]). \quad (5.9)$$

Putting (5.9) in (5.8) we arrive at

$$\llbracket X + e\varphi X, Y + e\varphi Y \rrbracket = \varphi([\varphi X, Y] + [X, \varphi Y]) + e([\varphi X, Y] + [X, \varphi Y]).$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket X + e\varphi X, Y + e\varphi Y \rrbracket &= \varphi([\varphi X, Y] + [X, \varphi Y]) \\ &\quad + e(\varphi^2([\varphi X, Y] + [X, \varphi Y]) + \eta([\varphi X, Y] + [X, \varphi Y])E). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (5.7) we find

$$\eta([\varphi X, Y] + [X, \varphi Y]) = 0.$$

Using this in above equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket X + e\varphi X, Y + e\varphi Y \rrbracket &= \varphi([\varphi X, Y] + [X, \varphi Y]) \\ &\quad + e\varphi(\varphi([\varphi X, Y] + [X, \varphi Y])) \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

which shows that $\llbracket X + e\varphi X, Y + e\varphi Y \rrbracket$ is a section of $E^{(1,0)}$. Now for $X \in \Gamma(\ker \eta)$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma(\ker E)$, from (1.2), we obtain

$$\llbracket X + e\varphi X, \alpha - e\varphi^* \alpha \rrbracket = L_X \alpha - L_{\varphi X} \varphi^* \alpha - e(L_X \varphi^* \alpha - L_{\varphi X} \alpha). \quad (5.11)$$

Evaluating the above expression on the Reeb field E and using (5.1)-(5.3) we see that both parts of the above expression are sections of $\ker E$. On the other hand, for any vector field $Y \in \Gamma(\ker \eta)$, we have

$$-\varphi^*(L_X \varphi^* \alpha - L_{\varphi X} \alpha)(Y) = -(L_X \varphi^*)(\varphi Y) + (L_{\varphi X} \alpha)(\varphi Y).$$

Then observing that $\varphi^2 X = X$ on $\ker \eta$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\varphi^*(L_X \varphi^* \alpha - L_{\varphi X} \alpha)(Y) &= -X\alpha(Y) + \varphi X\alpha(\varphi Y) \\ &\quad + \alpha(\varphi[X, \varphi Y] - [\varphi X, \varphi Y]). \end{aligned}$$

From (5.1) and (5.2) we have

$$[\varphi X, \varphi Y] - \varphi[X, \varphi Y] = \varphi[\varphi X, Y] - [X, Y].$$

Using this expression in above equation, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} -\varphi^*(L_X \varphi^* \alpha - L_{\varphi X} \alpha)(Y) &= -X\alpha(Y) + \varphi X\alpha(\varphi Y) \\ &\quad + \alpha([X, Y] - \varphi[\varphi X, Y]). \\ &= -(L_X \alpha)(Y) + (L_{\varphi X} \varphi^* \alpha)(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we arrive at

$$-\varphi^*(L_X \varphi^* \alpha - L_{\varphi X} \alpha) = -L_X \alpha + L_{\varphi X} \varphi^* \alpha \quad (5.12)$$

on $\ker E$. Applying φ^* to (5.12) we have

$$-(L_X \varphi^* \alpha - L_{\varphi X} \alpha) + (L_X \varphi^* \alpha - L_{\varphi X} \alpha)(E)\eta = \varphi^*(-L_X \alpha + L_{\varphi X} \varphi^* \alpha)$$

due to the transpose of the formula in (2.2). Since M is a normal almost para-contact manifold, we obtain $(L_X \varphi^* \alpha - L_{\varphi X} \alpha)(E) = 0$, thus we have

$$(L_X \varphi^* \alpha - L_{\varphi X} \alpha) = \varphi^*(L_X \alpha - L_{\varphi X} \varphi^* \alpha). \quad (5.13)$$

Using (5.13) in (5.11) we find

$$\llbracket X + e\varphi X, \alpha - e\varphi^* \alpha \rrbracket = L_X \alpha - L_{\varphi X} \varphi^* \alpha - e\varphi^*(L_X \alpha - L_{\varphi X} \varphi^* \alpha)$$

which shows that $\llbracket X + e\varphi X, \alpha - e\varphi^* \alpha \rrbracket \in E^{(1,0)}$. Since the Courant bracket between two forms are zero, this shows that we have proved that the Courant bracket between two sections of $E^{(1,0)}$ is again a section of $E^{(1,0)}$. Since the bundle $E^{(1,0)}$ is E invariant, the bundle is closed under the Courant bracket. Also we see that (5.7) shows that $\rho^*(d\eta)^{(2,0)} = 0$. Therefore L^* is also closed with respect to the Courant bracket. Thus proof is completed by Lemma 5.1 and Definition 4.

References

- [1] D. V. Alekseevsky, C. Medori and A. Tomassini, Homogeneous para-Kähler Einstein manifolds, *Russian Math. Surveys* 64:1 (2009), 1-43.
- [2] G. R. Cavalcanti and M. Gualtieri, Generalized complex geometry and T-duality, arXiv:1106.1747.
- [3] M. Crainic, Generalized complex structures and Lie brackets, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc., New Series* 42(4), (2011), 559-578.
- [4] J. Davidov, G. Grantcharov, O. Mushkarov, M. Yotov, Generalized pseudo-Kähler structures, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 304(1), (2011), 49-68.
- [5] S. J. Gates Jr, C. M. Hull, M. Röcek, Twisted multiplets and new supersymmetric nonlinear σ - models, *Nuclear Phys. B*, 248(1), (1984), 157-186.
- [6] J. Grabowski and P. Urbanski, Lie algebroids and Poisson Nijenhuis structures, *Rep. Math. Phys.* 40, (1997), 195-208.
- [7] M. Gualtieri, Generalized complex geometry, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. Oxford, arXiv:ma.th.DG/0401221, (2003).
- [8] N. Hitchin, Generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds, *Q. J. Math.*, 54, (2003), 281-308.
- [9] S. Kaneyuki, F. L. Willams, Almost paracontact and parahodge structures on manifolds. *Nagoya Math. J.* 99, (1985), 173-187.
- [10] Z-J. Liu, A. Weinstein, P. Xu, Manin triples for Lie bialgebroids, *J. Differential Geom.* Volume 45(3), (1997), 547-574.
- [11] Y. S. Poon, A. Wade, Generalized contact structures, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 83(2), (2011), 333-352.
- [12] D. Roytenberg, Quasi-Lie bialgebroids and twisted Poisson manifolds. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 61 (2002), 123-137.
- [13] I. Vaisman, Dirac structures and generalized complex structures on $TM \times \mathbb{R}^h$. *Adv. Geom.* 7(3), (2007), 453-474.
- [14] I. Vaisman, Reduction and submanifolds of generalized complex manifolds, *Dif. Geom. and its appl.*, 25, (2007), 147-166.
- [15] I. Vaisman, From generalized Kähler to generalized Sasakian structures. *J. Geom. Symmetry Phys.* 18 (2010), 63-86.
- [16] A. Wade, Dirac structures and paracomplex manifolds, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I*, 338, (2004), 889-894.
- [17] A. Wade, Local structure of generalized contact manifolds. *Differential Geom. Appl.* 30(1), (2012), 124-135

- [18] S. Zamkovoy, Canonical connections on paracontact manifolds, *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.*, 36, (2009), 37-60.